

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Linda Melton
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 5:40 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It has been proven that fracking by the oil industry can pollute our underground water resources and the process also uses huge amounts of water. What is the oil industry doing to save 20 - 25 % of our water and keep it clean??

What compensation are they giving to the communities they are ripping off??

This project is a bad idea. In my county we are cutting back 35% and our rates keep going up. People need to change their habits and build more catchment systems and runoff retrieval at the local levels. Give tax credits to individuals and local municipalities instead of spending billions on a project that benefits a few.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Linda Melton
432 Monterey Dr
Aptos, CA 95003-4810

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Joel Bradfield
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 5:41 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Maybe I say yes if big AG and big Oil payed their share in taxes and stopped hiding money off shore!

It was very nice to have had a drink and time with you all those years ago in SFO. Thank you for doing the right thing in this matter.

Respectfully,

Joel Bradfield

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joel Bradfield
435 Beverly Dr
Arcata, CA 95521-6541

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Bruce Allen <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 4:40 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Water is a precious commodity in this time of drought.

Do NOT provide water for fracking and do NOT spend billions to support agribusiness.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Bruce Allen
660 N Granados Ave
Solana Beach, CA 92075-1219

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Susan Rainier
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 4:39 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmeasured agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

[We cannot afford this from a monetary point of view and we cannot afford it from a life - safety point of view as the public needs the water to live.

We can live without oil. We cannot live without water.]

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Susan Rainier
Davis
Davis, CA 95618-4457

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jim Stewart <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 4:39 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

IF BIG AG NEEDS THESE (after exhausting all the cost-effective solutions, THEY SHOULD PAY THE FULL COSTS.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmeasured agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. Jim Stewart
1216 S Westlake Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90006-4118

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sarah Tanya Heaston
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 4:09 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

What would help is for Big AG and Oil and other businesses ramping up their water conservation to fit the strong push in most of our CA counties. We, the people are doing our part because we live here. Isn't it time the the businesses have to conserve too?

Need to write more policy and laws? How about some that target businesses for water waste in a State that relies on everyone and every business to do their part for water conservation.)

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sarah Tanya Heaston
1724 Beech St
Chico, CA 95928-6635

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Ceilia Marx <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Sunday, June 22, 2014 4:09 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 22, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

⌈ We need to keep California's water for it's people, and wildlife, and NEVER ALLOW OUR PRECIOUS WATER TO BE USED OR AFFECTED BY FRACKING, or to be diverted for large corporate agribusiness interests. ⌋

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Ceilia Marx
PO Box 371027
Montara, CA 94037-1027

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Carolyn Frazee
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:34 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This proposal is an environmental disaster! As. North coast resident I am fed up with water projects which fuel overdevelopment in the south and drain our nortepn ecosystems.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carolyn Frazee
499 Redmond Rd
Eureka, CA 95503-9592

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lorna Paisley
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:33 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

I thought you were the peoples' governor.

You need to get on the conservation bandwagon.

How much water do you think you can take from the north before that becomes an issue?

So much water gets wasted by big Ag and by the general public.

Man up and do the right thing

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lorna Paisley
6952 Balboa Blvd
Lake Balboa, CA 91406-4557

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Shari Horne
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:34 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

i live in Laguna Woods and we need the water. I have heard the Colorado River is very polluted and since we have to buy in all of our water it must be mixed with Colorado water to make it drinkable. We have 2 desal plants being built, but that is not enough for all of us.

We are not big agriculture, but Orange County needs water too. We have no groundwater or aquifers. i hope the tunnels do go through, we are counting on it.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Shari Horne
2354 Via Mariposa W Unit 3c
Laguna Woods, CA 92637-2294

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of P. Giuffrida <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:33 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

THIS is not rocket science Gov. Brown.....HELLO, our state, California, is in the worst DROUGHT ever! You have done good things for the educational system and other things.

But apparently you don't, won't or cannot get it.....WATER SHORTAGE, WATER DROUGHT. No rain, no snow, no melting waters from the mountains, underground water supplies low, very low.

Enough is enough.....FRACKING is the way wrong to go. I do believe you know that as you use to be an environmentalist at one time. Please be that again!

THANK YOU.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. P. Giuffrida
150 Las Tunas Dr
Arcadia, CA 91007-8530

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of MANUEL VASQUEZ
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:33 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

When Big Agriculture can comply with EPA standards and use bio-de-grade-able friendly by products instead of cancer causing Chemicals that kill humans and bees. Not a drop of water to Big Agriculture, Retire employee of the DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER, CITY OF LOS ANGELES.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. MANUEL VASQUEZ
7401 Clementine Dr
Eastvale, CA 92880-9069

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Shirley Vernale
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:33 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Water needs to be sent to individuals, small scale farmers and communities , NOT THE AGRICULATUUNREAL GIANTS WHO DONT KNOW ABOUT WATER CONSERVATION!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Miss Shirley Vernale
12308 Gilmore Ave
Los Angeles, CA 90066-6227

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of George Irwin
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:31 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

The tunnel is another example of corporate welfare, where tax money is used to subsidize, in this case, oil and agricultural interests. The projects are ultimately unsustainable and should be allowed to falter and die if they can't provide for their futures without bailouts from taxpayers.

An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry. The next logical step is to institute water metering so that these industrial users are required to operate within the economic constraints that the rest of us are subject to.

The BDCP tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective. They provide little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. George Irwin
827 La Para Ave
Palo Alto, CA 94306-2648

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Joe Futterer
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:31 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

AND we don't need Fracking. Are you crazy ???

If Big AG needs more water, have them build and pay for DeSalinization plants.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Joe Futterer
122 Pueblo Ln
Topanga, CA 90290-4460

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of L. Hughes <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:30 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Furthermore, water from N. California has already gone to S. California. We have more of our water than is reasonable, especially considering the extensive agricultural production in the counties around the Sacramento valley. The 99 corridor and the IFive corridor should be fed by reservoir and desalination plus careful control of irrigation systems. Israel does both very well.

Finally, canals to S. California in a long term drought don't make sense unless they are transferring desalinated water. For that, regional canals are a better option. Agriculture is essential in both N. and Central Ca. Moving water out of stressed river supplies instead of making fresh water from rising oceans is not a good plan.

Develop desalination instead! It is infrastructure and also generates jobs. Don't steal water. I do NOT agree that rain water collection is sufficient (we are in a drought). We need a long term robust solution...desalinate! It is well proven elsewhere.

Linda Hughes

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. L. Hughes
noen
none, CA 95616

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Peter White <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:30 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This is unconscionable.

Why continue to loot nature and public resources, in an ongoing series of environmental fiscally driven disasters for the benefit of profit and a few privateers?

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Peter White
Albion Ridge
Albion, CA 95410

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Marvin and Joan Silver <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:30 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

If this money is to be used to benefit Big Industry I say NO. As retirees we find our water bills are constantly getting higher - streets around us are showing the lack of watering our yards resulting in yellowing and dying front lawns. It certainly isn't a good thing to drive thru and see so many homes in a previously lovely neighborhood falling into disrepair because of the high costs of watering. We're all trying to conserve water to help with this problem, but for Big Industry to benefit in any way while we are not is just not right.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Marvin and Joan Silver
3005 Trousdale Dr
Burlingame, CA 94010-5710

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jeannine Jacobs
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:30 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Farmers, who use 80% of water resources should be mandated to construct water harvesting (collection) ponds (sand, clay or vinyl lined, with sun reflection methods) and proper terracing for topsoil conservation. The use of organic fertilizers also conserves water, due to it's porous structure. They need to be informed of sustainable crops (like hemp, flax and drought tolerant crops), or alternative regions which would provide more water (for almonds, pistachios, etc.). They can also draw upon treated water from water treatment plants for tertiary applications.

Shale fracturing ("fracking") is well documented to have many detrimental effects on the existing water resources, due to chemical contamination by water, soil and air---during and after their process of blasting and extraction and storage of waste. We can NOT afford, financially nor environmentally, a risk to our already limited water supplies and habitats. Renewables are at hand and tax incentives should be extended for this industry. If it weren't for our subsidies to Oil & Gas companies, they would not be able to compete in a fair market. We do not need to placate them any further with public money for private businesses!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Jeannine Jacobs
545 Croyden Ln
Cambria, CA 93428-2407

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Beverly Franco
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:28 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Its up to the ordinary citizens to help clean up this earth. Not the fossil fuel industries. That also means, we have to address our addictions to those fuels, both oil, gas and coal and the damage both extracting and using creates for our health and the earth's health.

It affects ALL.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Beverly Franco
332 E Graves Ave
Monterey Park, CA 91755-4106

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Jeff Lamppert
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:28 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Conservation and appropriate water use for the location and rainfall amount received should be pursued.

We don't always need more, less people, less water needed. How about some population reduction suggestions for the masses.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Jeff Lamppert
PO Box 5787
Tahoe City, CA 96145-5787

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Michael McLaughlin <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:27 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Please end attempts to remove vitally needed water from its normal watersheds in Northern CA.

Due to excessive exploitation salmonids and other anadromous fish are becoming seriously in danger of extinction. Water tables fall, and streams dry, making the forested areas of CA far more likely to be burnt down. Entire ecosystems are at risk due to this benighted water transport, and living becomes difficult for indigenous species, indigenous peoples, rural people, and even urban communities formed where water is normally to be found.

Stealing water from the areas dependent upon it for thousands to millions of years is a grave mistake.

Do not attempt to create artificially large urban human communities or grow intense water-dependent crops in areas where these cannot exist as local ecosystems, but instead, allow local climates to determine what arises. This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Michael McLaughlin
1011 H St
Eureka, CA 95501-1842

