
From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Heidi Roth <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 11:13 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

The plan isn't clear on costs, impacts, or benefits. In addition, it further threatens ecosystems already stressed by over-use of water sourced from those locations. While reduced access to water has an economic impact on the state, it is also time to adjust how we create revenue before adding weight to a further collapse of the agricultural system in the state. The practice of farming heavy-water demand crops in areas of low supply has far surpassed the practicality of those products. Just as consumers are changing our individual water usage at the residential level, we need to accept the fact that we also need to change other habits to deal with the ecological changes in front of us.

It is inappropriate to expect these changes to only happen for individual households. The biggest use of water is industry. Individual taxpayers should neither be the only ones at risk for the burden of public projects nor the only group expected to change their behaviors based on the changing needs of the state.

I do not support this project as being in the best, long-term interest for the state, our financial situation or overall well-being of the state.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Heidi Roth
936 Ellen St
Rohnert Park, CA 94928-2221

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of John Dunlap
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 11:13 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

This project reminds me of the Los Angeles subway. Delays, cost overruns, defaults, and ultimately a worsening of the situation it was intended to mitigate.

Instead of finishing off the state's shaky economy with a long shot project, why don't you instead consider investing in development of solar desalination of sea water, a path which has a much better chance of meeting all of your constituents' needs?

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Dunlap
12300 5th St Spc 52
Yucaipa, CA 92399-2414

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Lorna Scott <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 11:12 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it - and in reality there is never a time that we can afford it. We should only provide water to farms and ranches that feed our country, not for specialized foods that are exported and NEVER to be used for fracking. Not only does it waste a precious life-giving resource it horribly pollutes the water that is left over. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided no benefit to the general population.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Lorna Scott
2875 Birch Ave
Camino, CA 95709-9641

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kathleen Martin
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 11:12 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I am strongly opposed. California should be leading the way in renewable energy not chasing after technology that creates environmental disaster.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kathleen Martin
6230 Chablis Dr
Shingle Springs, CA 95682-8355

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Carol Simone
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 10:12 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I do not want my tax dollars used for the benefit of the oil companies, for fracking or for giant agribusinesses.!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Carol Simone
541 N Sparks St
Burbank, CA 91506-1849

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of John O'Hern
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 10:11 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Here are two extremely cost effective solutions to the water supply problem that do not require the Tunnel Boondoggle.

First solution: stop water intensive farming in a desert. DUH!

Second solution: stop fracking, stop using fossil fuels, and put the 25 to 70 billion dollar tunnel costs into solar and wind power.

Save the rivers, save the Delta, and Save the Bay.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. John O'Hern
594 Morning Glory Dr
Benicia, CA 94510-3833

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Deborah Landowne <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 10:11 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Let's look at new ways to conserve water. For one, we should not be using this precious resource to flush down the toilet. Someone should look into a pilot project for composting toilets in homes.

In the meantime, we cannot waste money and water for corporate waste.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Deborah Landowne
740 Estancia Way
San Rafael, CA 94903-3026

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Martha Elizabeth Bekken <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Monday, June 23, 2014 9:41 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 23, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmeasured agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Furthermore, the use of our precious water by oil companies for fracking contaminates our water and further damages our environment.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Martha Elizabeth Bekken
1767 2nd Ave
San Diego, CA 92101-2616

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Chrissy Hoffman
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:10 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Where is the bravery of an independent California? Where is the environmental governor I thought I was voting for? The time is now to reject corporate dictatorship!

We can no longer afford the "Good German" in this fight against global warming!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Chrissy Hoffman
2815 Russell St
Berkeley, CA 94705-2319

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Charles Batson
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:13 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Is this really the best stewardship of our resources and of our planet?

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Charles Batson
10 Professional Center Pkwy Apt 24
San Rafael, CA 94903-2723

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of CT Bross <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:15 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

The citizens of California oppose the theft of our fresh water for the purpose of corporate profit!.

Governor, please redeem yourself and your legacy. Do the right thing.
Protect environment, wildlife, climate, and people!

Thank you.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. CT Bross
Adak Ct
Walnut Creek, CA 94597

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Paul Ramos
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:16 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

You are supposed to serve the PEOPLE not the CORPORATIONS.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Paul Ramos
999 Jason Way
Santa Ynez, CA 93460

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of James Perkins
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:16 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

It's time for us to stop subsidizing industry and get back to real innovations that create jobs and make everyone's life better, spreading the wealth around and causing real industry growth to take place...

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Dr. James Perkins
525 W 58th St
Los Angeles, CA 90037-4029

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rose Mary Glover
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:34 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I have lived in countries where every house has a rainwater tank to supplement their water supply. This could easily happen in California.

Bermuda relies totally on rainwater.

Southern California and Nevada have already used up the Colorado River so that it no longer reaches the ocean. Relentless over-development continues to drain our resources - are we next?

I reject any idea of using our rapidly decreasing water supply to keep the golf courses and fancy lawns green. The reality is, we live in a desert area...let's treat it as such.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Rose Mary Glover
7248 Palm Ave
Fair Oaks, CA 95628-3315

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Linda Jean Edwards
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:35 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

I'm really sick and tired of so called Democrats selling out to Agriculture corporations. Northern CA sends enough of it's precious water to S. CA.

STOP THIS IDIOTIC PROJECT!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Linda Jean Edwards
PO Box 494
Penngrove, CA 94951-0494

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Glenda Corning
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:36 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

We must find ways to become more sustainable. This is not the answer. A whole region in which the water originates will suffer and it will not solve the problems it is meant to address.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Glenda Corning
811 Meadowsweet Dr
Corte Madera, CA 94925-1784

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Sattie Clark <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 12:39 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers. It would only be a giveaway to Big Agra, a form of corporate welfare that is unconscionable and unsustainable.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Sattie Clark
1482 Inez Way
Redwood Valley, CA 95470-6185

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of robert shaw
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 5:36 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.
And last but not least, remember Mono Lake and the Owens Valley disasters

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. robert shaw
2663 Bradford Way
West Sacramento, CA 95691-4531

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Rudy Meyers
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 6:07 PM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This is not the time to support a water tunnel project which would remove much needed Sacramento River water to the Central Valley during a declared crisis and water shortage. If water for the Central Valley is needed, buy it from a place that has excess water, do not steal it from voters here in Sacramento and Northern California.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford to subsidize big business. If the Central Valley or Southern California needs additional water they should bear the cost themselves not the entire State. A Northern California river should not be redirected for the sake of larger populations, un-metered agriculture or the oil industry.

Proposed tunnel projects have already been rejected by voters with similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective while providing little to no benefit to California voters and taxpayers.

Overall, this water tunnel project is unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater or stormwater collection could be implemented instead to provide a lower cost & greater benefit for Californians. The increased use of brown water for the Central Valley can also be implemented with very little or no cost to California voters and taxpayers.

Public review and comment at:
<http://baydeltaconservationplan.com/PublicReview.aspx>

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Rudy Meyers
13063 Lincoln Way
Auburn, CA 95603-4124

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Dolores Boutin
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:25 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Water is important to all of us, not just big corporations.

Conservation is the answer. There is a limited amount of water and it needs to be shared fairly with the people and the environment. New orchards and vineyards are being planted in places where there is no water. Then they demand water for economic reasons. That means they want to steal water from others and get others to pay for it. This is not progress and does not lead to a good future for California. No water tunnels!

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Dolores Boutin
PO Box 1450
Tuolumne, CA 95379-1450

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Brenda Balanda <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:26 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Stop wasting our money and destroying our environment. What are you thinking?
Or, have you been bought off by Big Ag and Big Oil, too?
What a disgusting country we have -- and California is now no better than the rest.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Ms. Brenda Balanda
3 Drake Way
Inverness, CA 94937

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Kevin and Kathleen Casey <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:28 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

There is NO excess water to divert.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Kevin and Kathleen Casey
317 Shasta Ave
Mount Shasta, CA 96067-2049

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of Richard Johnson
<act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:29 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall. Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

Please don't forget that these tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982!

Why? Because why should all of us pay for tunnels which will only benefit big companies?

How about letting THEM pay for the tunnels?? AND the water!!

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. Richard Johnson
19808 John Dr
Castro Valley, CA 94546-3965

From: Food & Water Watch <act@fwwatch.org> on behalf of John Keiser <act@fwwatch.org>
Sent: Saturday, June 21, 2014 11:29 AM
To: BDCP.comments@noaa.gov
Subject: I Oppose the BDCP

Jun 21, 2014

Ryan Wulff
650 Capitol Mall, Suite 5-100
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Wulff,

I am concerned and alarmed by the proposal for the new tunnel project to redirect water from the Sacramento River.

This project will cost billions of taxpayer dollars at a time when our state cannot afford it. An entire river should not be redirected for the sake of large-scale, unmetered agriculture and the oil industry.

The proposed tunnels have already been rejected by voters in 1982, and similar tunnel projects in places like Santa Barbara County have not been cost effective and have provided little benefit to taxpayers.

Maintain what is right and say no to big money.

Overall, the tunnels are unnecessary and fiscally irresponsible. The existing aquaduct could be reinforced and other local water projects like rainwater collection could be implemented instead, providing a much greater benefit at a lower cost.

Sincerely,

Mr. John Keiser
7107 Santa Ana Cir
Buena Park, CA 90620-3181

