

Letter	Comment #	Comments	Relation to Final EIR/EIS
Recreational Boaters of California	1	Based on our initial review of the responses to RBOC's comments, our organization believes that many responses are insufficient, ambiguous and/or unresponsive.	This comment is about the adequacy of responses to previously submitted comments. This comment does not raise any substantive new environmental information or analysis that was not previously addressed in the Final EIR/S.
Recreational Boaters of California	2	As discussed in the 2016 Final BDCP/California WaterFix EIR/EIS, the California WaterFix would severely restrict navigable waterways during construction of the twin tunnels. This is contrary to the California State Constitution, Article 10, Section 4 of which provides that "No individual, partnership, or corporation, claiming or possessing the frontage or tidal lands of a harbor, bay, inlet, estuary, or other navigable water in this State, shall be permitted to exclude the right of way to such water whenever it is required for any public purpose, nor to destroy or obstruct the free navigation of such water; and the Legislature shall enact such laws as will give the most liberal construction to this provision, so that access to the navigable waters of this State shall be always attainable for the people thereof."	This comment is about recreation, specifically boat navigation. This comment does not raise any substantive new environmental information or analysis that was not previously addressed in the Final EIR/S.
Recreational Boaters of California	3	The planned temporary closure of significant recreational waterways including Twin Sloughs and Mildred Island are unacceptable, unmitigated and unconstitutional.	This comment is about recreation, specifically boat navigation. This comment does not raise any substantive new environmental information or analysis that was not previously addressed in the Final EIR/S.