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Your input on the BDCP EIR/EIS is greatly appreciated. Please write your comments below, including comments on the

1st – The name of the plan should be changed to what the plan really is, a peripheral canal designed to bypass the Delta and deliver water to the LA Basin with minimal amounts to others. Renaming the plan is a ploy to hide the true nature of the plan from the people of California. I’ve been involved with these types of plans and EIRs for 30 years; most of the plan is fluff covering its true intentions.

2nd – You provide no controls for water usage at the delivery points such as a moratorium on construction until local sources of water are obtained or there is continuing surplus water available. Continued expansion at delivery points will surely bring on continuous emergency regulations thereby bypassing all of the controls for the distribution of the Delta’s water. History has shown that Southern California’s ravenous water appetite will eventually suck California dry, i.e. the Owens valley, the Colorado River, etc...

3rd – We were informed that fish screens are currently available that protect all fish from entering pump intakes but that due to the volumes of water pumped the fish congregate at the pump intakes. The fish then are caught and trucked to locations distant from the pump intakes. One solution is to place the screens at locations away from the pump intakes. You already have 3 typical drawings showing various types of pump intake stations w/fish screens.

4th – Salt water intrusion in the various channels can be controlled with gates, this isn’t rocket science, it’s done all over the world.

5th – Finally, I see no vision or originality in this plan. Your slide presentation was all about protecting fish species; I guess the human species isn’t important. You mentioned people once; the figure was 25 million who needed water, then on to fish again. It took the people, in the audience, at the Stockton meeting to bring out other relevant points.